# EXHIBIT A

Elek Straub, Andras Balogh and Tamas Morvai July 28, 2014 Page 77 Page 79 1 A No. indicated below and between the government of --2 Q And you don't recall --Republic of Macedonia represented by His Excellency, 2 3 As I read it today, you know, I can Mr. Vlado Buckovski and then on behalf of Matav interpret that on the 5th and 6th of May there was an represented by Elek Straub. 4 4 5 agreement about cooperation issues between the 5 If you look at the second page on the government and MakTel. signature lines, over the signature line for Elek 6 6 7 MR. DODGE: Number 18, please. 7 Straub, do you recognize that signature? (Balogh Exhibit 132 marked for purposes of 8 Α I do. 8 identification.) 9 Q And is that your signature? 9 10 BY MR. DODGE: 10 Α Yes, it is. So did you sign this document on Mr. 11 I'm handing you a document that's been 11 Q marked Exhibit 132. Exhibit 132 is an e-mail chain, 12 Straub's behalf? 12 13 three pages -- well, the total document is six pages. 13 Α That's right. Do you recognize the signature of 14 First three pages are an e-mail chain that are 14 Q 15 partially -- actually all in English. Bottom three 15 Mr. Buckovski? 16 pages are Hungarian originals with partially in 16 Α No. Hungarian and partially in English. Q 17 17 Okay. There are initials on both pages The top e-mail of the e-mail chain -starting on the bottom left corner. 18 18 19 19 actually the bottom e-mail in the first page of the Do you recognize those initials? e-mail chain is from Andras Balogh dated May 17th, 20 Bottom left I recognize. This appears to 20 Α 21 2005, to Peter Danko, Elek Straub, and Tamas Morvai. 21 be my initials. 22 Take a look, please, at Exhibit 132 and 22 Okay. And then there's a series of Q tell me whether you recognize this e-mail chain? 23 initials on the bottom right. 23 (Witness reviewing document.) 24 24 Do you recognize those? 25 Not that I specifically wrote this e-mail. 25 No. Α Page 78 Page 80 1 I see my name on the e-mail. So I have no reason to So tell me about the circumstances under 1 which you signed Exhibit 11? believe that I have not sent it or received these 2 3 e-mails. 3 MR. SULLIVAN: Object to form. Can you narrow and refine that a little 4 **MR. DODGE:** I think I'm going to move on. 4 21 and 22. bit? 5 5 (Previously marked Exhibit 11 for purposes MR. DODGE: Okav. 6 6 BY MR. DODGE: 7 of identification.) 7 (Previously marked Exhibit 12 for purposes The agreement is dated May 27th, 2005. Did 8 8 9 of identification.) 9 you sign Exhibit 11 on May 27th, 2005? BY MR. DODGE: I don't remember on which day I signed this 10 10 Mr. Balogh, I'm handing you two documents document. I do not remember the exact date. I have no 11 11 12 that have been previously marked Plaintiff's Exhibits 12 reason to believe it wasn't on the 27th of May. 13 11 and 12. Each of them is two-page document with the 13 Q Do you know where you were when you signed it? 14 heading Protocol of Cooperation. 14 15 The first bears -- Exhibit 11 bears the 15 I don't really remember, but, as I recall, 16

Bates numbers GREEK-MLAT-7 and 8. Exhibit 12 has the 17 Bates numbers GREEK-MLAT-9 and 10. 18 I'd like you to review those, please, and tell me if you've seen them before? 19 It appears to be signed Protocol of 20

22 BY MR. DODGE:

Cooperation.

23

So let's take a look at Exhibit 11 first.

And this is in the -- on the first page it says, this

Protocol of Cooperation is entered into on the date

you know, I vaguely remember it, and from the 16 circumstances of this document I should have been or I must have been in -- somewhere in Macedonia to sign it. 18 19 Q Okay. Did you sign this document together 20 with Mr. Pejkovski? 21 Α Definitely not. 22 And you're certain about that? So tell me Q 23 about your recollection. 24 I'm pretty certain about that, because if I

signed something with a prime minister, any prime

Elek Straub, Andras Balogh and Tamas Morvai

Page 81 Page 83 your chief executive officer, Mr. Straub; is that

- 1 minister, I should remember that. The prime minister
- is somebody. I definitely do not remember signing 2
- 3 anything with the prime minister.
- Do you know whether you signed this 4 5 document before the prime minister or after the prime minister? 6
  - A I can't say.

7

10

- O Do you remember who also was with you when 8 9 you signed the document?
  - Α I don't know.
- Q Were any of the Greeks with you? 11
- 12 A I have no idea.
- 13 0 After you signed it, after you signed
- Exhibit 11, what did you do with the document? Did you 14 15 make a copy? Did you keep a copy?
- 16 Α As I told you, I don't remember.
- Q Do you know whether the only original of 17 the -- of Exhibit 11 was taken by Mr. Kefaloyannis? 18
- It could be that he could take the 19 20 original, yes.
- 21 Did you understand at the time that there 22 were going to be no copies of the signed Protocol of Cooperation? 23
- 24 Well, the Greeks acted as a trusted third 25 party in these negotiations. So it wasn't surprising

- 2 right?

12

13

15

16

- 3 Α Yes. That's why it says PP.
- Q Did you bring a signed copy of the 4 5 agreement back to Mr. Straub?
- I can't remember if -- you know, if I had 6
- 7 taken back a signed copy to the chief officer, then why
- did you say that the only copy was with Mr.
- Kefaloyannis. So most likely I did not. 9
- 10 Wouldn't Mr. Straub expect to get a signed copy of the protocol --11
  - A I don't think so.
  - 0 -- if a document is signed under his name?
- 14 Α I signed it on his behalf, so.
  - Q Did you have permission from Mr. Straub not to bring a signed copy back with you?
- 17 I had permission from Mr. Straub to sign the document on his behalf, obviously. 18
- 19 Q Does -- is there a formal archive system 20 exist at Magyar Telekom when you were there?
- 21 Yes. There are archive systems, yes.
- 22 Q And was it part of that system that
- 23 contracts entered into on behalf of the corporation 24 were maintained in the archives?
- 25 That wasn't my responsibility to take care A

Page 82 Page 84

- 1 that they take the original version as they were acting
- as kind of peacemakers between two parties, I mean,
- 3 between MakTel and Magyar Telekom and the government of
- Macedonia. So it wasn't anything unusual. 4
  - Q Did you keep a signed copy of the protocol?
- Α I did not. 6 7

5

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

- Q Why not?
- Because I did not need a signed copy of the 8
- 9 protocol because I most likely had everything as an
- electronic version. The protocol was not a binding 10 document, Mr. Dodge. I mean, I had no reason to keep 11 12 it signed or not signed. It's a wish list.

It's more a memorandum of understanding than a proper contract for anybody, and, again, I gave you the big picture. More import is the general relationship between the company and the government than what is the exact form. That's a formality.

The real rationale behind is that we set forth the framework of cooperation, and, as I sit here today and I interpret what I see and my recollection whether it was signed or not, whether I had a signed copy or not, and the fact that you said that a signed copy was kept with the Greeks or Mr. Kefaloyannis, it's not surprising at all.

Well, you signed this protocol on behalf of

- 1 of maintaining contracts in the archives. Frist of
- all, the archives, if I remember, were electronic
- 3 archives. No hard copy archives, and, second, such
- archives have been maintained by the legal department 4
- 5 or the financial department.

6 Both the legal department and the financial department were involved in creating or drafting or 7

- finalizing these Protocol of Cooperation. That, in 8
- 9 fact, was not a contract, Mr. Dodge. This is a
- 10
- memorandum of understanding. 11
- And did you get authorization from anybody 12 in Magyar's in-house legal department or from the 13 archives department to not -- not provide a written copy for the archives?
  - MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to form.
- 16 Go ahead.
- 17 **THE WITNESS:** What authorization do you mean? I didn't get any authorizations from the legal 18
- 19 departments. They were not supposed to authorize me to
- do this or that. They had a copy, so they kept it in 20
- 21 the copy of their electronic files.
- 22 BY MR. DODGE:
- 23 Q You said "they had a copy"?
- 24 They had a copy of the document. I didn't
  - say they had a signed copy of the document. They had a

14

Page 89 Page 91 1 Q Okay. But the prime minister signed it? 1 THE WITNESS: (Speaking in Hungarian). 2 2 THE INTERPRETER: Since this is not a A 3 Q And you signed for the CEO? 3 contract. This appeared to be reasonable to us -- to A 4 4 me 5 0 But notwithstanding that, your testimony is 5 BY MR. DODGE: the document wasn't important enough even to keep a So would you -- when you came back to 6 6 copy of; is that right? 7 Hungary from Macedonia, you knew that you did not have 7 No, no, no, that's not what I'm saying. a signed copy of the protocol? 8 9 You are misinterpreting what I said. 9 Mr. Dodge, I don't remember. I assume I 10 Then please clarify. 10 did not have the signed copy of the Protocol of I want to make it very clear, so I would Cooperation because then I would have taken it with me 11 11 like to tell it in Hungarian. and I would have shown it to the CEO or the head lawyer 12 12 THE WITNESS: (Speaking in Hungarian). 13 13 or whatever, and I cannot recall that that happened. **THE INTERPRETER:** I signed the document in So I assume a signed copy was not with me when I left 14 14 15 the name of the chief executive officer. I don't know 15 Macedonia, but I don't remember. when the document has been signed by the prime 16 But you know that later on other executives 16 17 minister. 17 at Magyar Telekom and at Deutsche Telekom asked you for **THE WITNESS:** (Speaking in Hungarian). a signed copy and you had to explain why you didn't 18 18 19 THE INTERPRETER: Consequently, I cannot have one; is that right? 19 say with any certainty whatsoever that when I departed 20 A I don't know what kind of request do you 20 21 from Macedonia there was already existing copy signed 21 mean? 22 by both individuals, meaning me and the Macedonian 22 There was a request in Michael Gunther for Q 23 a signed copy of the agreement; is that right? 23 party. 24 **THE WITNESS:** (Speaking in Hungarian). 24 I don't remember. Maybe there was. 25 THE INTERPRETER: The document was 25 Q And you had to explain to him why he Page 90 Page 92 important. The document was an important document, and couldn't have it; is that right? 1 it was mostly important because of what was included in 2 I don't remember. 2 Α 3 that document, the points that were brought up. 3 Q Okay. Was there anything bad that would **THE WITNESS:** (Speaking in Hungarian). have happened had you kept the sign copy? 4 4 5 THE INTERPRETER: The intent of signing 5 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection. What do you mean by "bad"? these documents, this document, was that it would be 6 6 almost like a guidance for activities towards the 7 7 **THE WITNESS:** Yes, what do you mean by future pointing toward future activities. "bad"? 8 8 9 **THE WITNESS:** (Speaking in Hungarian). 9 BY MR. DODGE: 10 **THE INTERPRETER:** This purpose, the Not good. 10 guidance, was indicative of future cooperation between 11 MR. SULLIVAN: What do you mean by "not 11 the parties. good". Did you have a particular issue that you want 12 12 13 THE WITNESS: (Speaking in Hungarian). 13 to the explore? BY MR. DODGE: 14 **THE INTERPRETER:** And in this regard it was 14 15 less important that we would have in our possession a 15 Would there have been any adverse copy signed by both parties. consequences to you or to Magyar Telekom that you were 16 16 17 Presumably both the government, Macedonian 17 aware of that provided a reason for not keeping a government and Hungarian Telecom was fully aware that signed copy of the protocol? 18 18 the legal -- the legalized copy signed by both 19 Not at all. Not at all. We would have 19 parties --20 been happy to keep a signed copy of the protocol. 20 21 THE WITNESS: I didn't say "legalized". 21 What discussions did you have with the 22 THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry. Greeks about whether to keep a signed copy of the 22 The signed copy of this document would be 23 Protocol? 23 deposited with a trusted third party, in this case, the 24 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection to form.

Greeks.

25

25

THE WITNESS: I can't recall any

Document 246-1 Filed 11/06/15 Page 5 of 16 Andras Balogh - Vol. 1 Case 1:11-cy-09645-RJS U.S. Securties and Exchange Commission v. Elek Straub, Andras Balogh and Tamas Morvai Page 93 Page 95 1 discussions about the details of how to keep these MakTel and government of Macedonia as business documents with the Greeks. They kept it. As I said, 2 partners, as equity partners, and as a market player 2 3 they were the trusted third party and they kept it. 3 and the regulator. The fact is more important than the details about that, Did you ever describe the Protocol of 4 Q Mr. Dodge. I can't recall how and why and under what 5 Cooperation using the word "contract"? circumstances it was decided that they keep or where A I don't remember. 6 6 they keep it or how they keep it or when, I don't know. 7 Q What's the Hungarian word for "contract"? 7 Okay. But you knew that the only original 8 A (Speaking in Hungarian). 8 of the document would be kept with the Greeks? 9 Q Did you ever use that word to describe the 9 10 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection. 10 protocol? 11 That's not his testimony. 11 I don't know, Mr. Dodge. MR. SULLIVAN: Can we have the interpreter BY MR. DODGE: 12 12 13 Is that right? 13 spell that, for the record? I knew it at some point, but I don't know THE INTERPRETER: S-Z-E-R-D-O with the 14 Α 14 15 when. You know, not that the -- I can't specifically 15 umlar S. S-Z-E-R-D-O. MR. SULLIVAN: For the record, the document tell you when it became clear that there was one copy 16 itself was found, has been found in the files of Magyar 17 and that's kept with the Greeks. I don't know. I 17 can't remember. Telekom. That's what was initially retrieved. 18 18 19 19 **MR. DODGE:** Not the signed version of the Q Okay. But my at least June of 2005 you knew that the Greeks had the only signed version, 20 document. 20 right? MR. SULLIVAN: The document itself. 21 21 22 Α Mr. Dodge, you are asking me about dates, I 22 MR. DODGE: But not the signed version's don't remember. never been found in Magyar Telekom, unless you have 23 23 information to the contrary. 24 0 Okay. Can you think of any other important 24 25 documents, important documents signed by a senior 25 MR. SULLIVAN: I don't have information Page 94 Page 96 officer of Magyar Telekom that would not have been kept whatsoever. All I'm saying is, these documents here in the files of Magyar Telekom? were in the archives, whether the signed copies were in 2 3 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection. 3 the archives, I don't have any knowledge. **THE WITNESS:** Can I think of it right now? MR. DODGE: Well, if you're making 4 4 Maybe there were these documents, but I can't think of representations that Exhibits 11 and 12, signed them now, but if you give me enough time, maybe I will versions of these were in the archives, than I'd like 6 remember something? 7 to know the basis of that. 7

BY MR. DODGE: 8

9

10

11

12

Was it the ordinary practice in Magyar Telekom when a contract was entered on behalf of the company that the signed version of the contract would be kept in the archives?

13 Mr. Dodge, the responsible organization for keeping the contract with the legal department and/or 14 the finance department, I don't even remember, but 15 there was a system to keep contracts under the archives 16 17 of Magyar Telekom that referred to contracts. 18

Q Okay. So Protocol of Cooperation was not a 19 contract?

I'm not a qualified lawyer to decide 20 21 whether it's a contract or not. As an intention, I told you already many times, it was not. It was more a memorandum of understanding. It was a wish list. It 23 was a roadmap for future cooperation. That's what it was. It set forth how we are going to work together as

the signed documents. These documents, documents described as the Protocol of Cooperation are in the archives. That's where they were originally retrieved.

MR. SULLIVAN: I have no information about

BY MR. DODGE: 12

13 Q Let's turn to Exhibit 12. This is Protocol 14 of --

15 MR. SULLIVAN: We need to take a quick break. It's almost 1:00 and let us know your plans for 16 17 lunch.

18 MR. DODGE: Okay. Let's go off the record. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the video at 20 12:50 p.m.

21 (Recessed at 12:50 p.m. for lunch) 22 AFTERNOON SESSION

23 (Reconvened at 1:53 p.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the video 24 record at 1:53 p.m., starting disk two. 25

8

9

10

Page 97 Page 99

9

10

11

- 1 **BY MR. DODGE:**
- 2 Mr. Balogh, let's turn back to Exhibit 11.
- 3 I know I asked you to look at 12, but let's go back to
- 11, the protocol that you signed? 4
- 5 Yes.
- Q And so, Mr. Balogh, turn to Exhibit 11. 6
- You mentioned that you used the term "aspirational" to 7 describe aspects of the protocol; is that right? 8
- 9 I don't remember using the word 10 "aspirational". I used, I think, "desired", but
- basically is the same word. 11 12

MR. BEDNAR: Did you also use the word

13 "wish list" to describe the protocol?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

### BY MR. DODGE:

14

15

23

8 9

10

14

16 Let's look at some of the terms. In 17 paragraph 1 it begins on the first page of Exhibit 11 it says, Matav agrees that MobiMak will expand its 18 19 network coverage into Kosovo in order to assist an 20 already an already existing mobile license holder to 21 start operations as a mobile virtual network operator of MobiMak in Kosovo. 22

Do you see that?

- 24 Α Yes.
- 25 Q And then in the next paragraph it says, the

1 You're probably aware that Macedonia is a

- 2 ethnically highly divided country to receive a war a
- few years back. And about one-third, probably more of
- the country is ethnic Albanian and two-thirds are 4 5 sloves.

There was a strong intention to serve the 6

7 Albanian community with their own mobile network as they wanted to have everything of their own.

The first idea of the MVNO operation refers to such a services that would provide service to ethnic Albanians in Macedonia and in Kosovo.

12 What I'm saying it's an aspirational target 13 because there was no license to provide such a service

in Kosovo. That would have been an unlicensed mobile 14

15 operation. It's quite unlikely that a company like

Magyar Telekom or MakTel could go into such a service 16

17 without any problems, even though it was strongly encouraged by the Greeks who were participating in 18

19 development of this Protocol of Cooperation and it was

20 obviously desired by certain circles, forces, people

21 businesses in Macedonia.

22 Now, the second paragraph of that refers to 23 a different kind of MVNO. Something like that you have

24 mentioned, which refers to the fact that if a third

mobile license is issued in Macedonia for the whole

Page 98 Page 100

- government agrees with Matay -- and Matay also refers to Magyar Telekom; is that right? 2
- 3 Α That's right.
- 0 The government agrees with Matav that only 4 MVNO license maybe issued in Macedonia, and further 5 it's introduction to subject to the joint written 6 7 agreement of the parties.

Now, where it says only MVNO license, does that mean that there would not be a third mobile operator in Macedonia that had its own network?

Basically interpreting these paragraphs 11 today it clearly sets two kinds of MVNO operation. Are 12 you familiar at all with the MVNO? 13

Q Yes.

So the MVNO means that it uses another 15 service providers physical assets to provide service 16 17 somewhere. When I mentioned wish list or aspirational targets, paragraph number one is a very good example 18

- 19 for that because it's Kosovo MVNO operation is
- definitely an aspirational target. The aspirational 20
- 21 target of our Greek partners and our the aspirational
- 22 target of certain Macedonian -- certain Macedonian
- people who believe that it would be a bad idea if a 23
- third mobile operator would come to market that would
- serve customers on an ethnic basis.

- country, not an ethnic basis, but for the whole
- country, than it would have been better for MakTel, 2
- 3 i.e., it probably would have been better for the
- government as a shareholder of MakTel as well, that no
- traffic and revenue -- as little traffic and as little
- revenue is lost to a third competitor as possible.
- 7 i.e., the physical assets of MobiMak can be used and
- 8 the third operator would be an MVNO service provider.
- 9 So the third recovery if there were to be a third operator in Macedonia under the protocol, it 10 would operate based on a physical network that was 11 12 owned by MobiMak; is that right?
- 13 A If you refer to the second paragraph here?
- 14 Q Second paragraph.
- 15 Yes. Because the first paragraph is
- different. That physical network did not fully exist 16 17 yet.
- 18 Okay. And the second paragraph says that 19 only an MVNO license may be issued in Macedonia. Did 20 you understand that to mean that there would not be a 21 third license in Macedonia where the third operator had 22 its own network?
- 23 According to this Protocol of Cooperation, 24 this is desired by the parties.
  - And in paragraph 2 it says, Matav agrees

Page 101 Page 103 1 that MakTel would pay an increased dividend of euro 1 THE INTERPRETER: (Speaking in Hungarian). 95 million to its shareholders, i.e., 44.8 million for 2 **THE WITNESS:** Could you explain? 2 3 the government after the financial year 2004 and 3 **THE INTERPRETER:** Could you repeat that, (declared irrevocably in May and payable in full within 4 please? 5 the shortest legally possible timeframe no later than 4 5 BY MR. DODGE: July 2005). The sentence that we're talking about in 6 6 Q 7 Do you see that? 7 paragraph 2 of Exhibit 11, it simply says that MakTel Α Yes. will pay this dividend within the shortest possible 8 9 And was that a provision that was expected 9 timeframe no later than July 4th; is that right? 10 to be binding on Magyar Telekom and MakTel? 10 **THE INTERPRETER:** (Speaking in Hungarian). Well, this was the desire, this was the THE WITNESS: It says that Magyar Telekom 11 11 request for double dividend payment. Earlier the will pay the increased dividend in order to pay this 12 12 13 dividend levels were higher. In order actually declare 13 dividend for their actions have to be taken. this dividend for direction set to be done, you know, BY MR. DODGE: 14 14 15 like board approvals were needed and another approval 15 0 And that's a vote of the board of 16 process had to be followed within the company. 16 directors, right? 17 Well, but was your it intention to actually 17 It's a vote of the board of directors, but comply with this provision and actually have the probably not only one company, not only of MakTel but 18 18 19 dividend paid? When I say "your" I mean Magyar also of Stonebridge. So it's a multiple 19 20 Telekom? 20 decision-making process. 21 As one of the elements of the negotiation, 21 Q But all with Magyar Telekom; is that right?

22

23

24

25

4

Page 102

A

0

Α

Telekom?

Yes.

acceptable for Magyar Telekom, yes.
 Q Well, this was a key provision from the
 government's standpoint, right?

this under certain circumstances, would have been

All within companies related to Magyar

1 A The government found it extremely important 2 because it was very important revenue source for the 3 budget.

Q So was it your understanding that the government fully expected Magyar Telekom and MakTel to make this payment no later than the 4th of July 2005?

**MR. SULLIVAN:** Objection as to his ability to testify as to what the government expected.

**THE WITNESS:** It was obvious that they wanted, but, no, what they were exactly expecting and by what date, I cannot tell you.

# 12 **BY MR. DODGE:**

22

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

15

16

2425

13 Q You knew what the agreement provided, 14 right?

A You mean this agreement?

Q The Protocol of Cooperation?

A The Protocol of Cooperation is a framework for future cooperation set forth that Magyar Telekom would pay a double dividend.

Q And it's unequivocal, right, it simply says
Magyar Telekom and MakTel will pay this dividend by
this date?

23 A It's -- when you say the word?

Q Unequivocal.

THE WITNESS: Cannot be taken place?

Q All subject to the direction of Mr. Elek
 Straub who signed -- whose name is on the Protocol of
 Cooperation?

A What do you mean, direction of Elek Straub?

Well, if Mr. Elek Straub, or you on his
behalf, signed this Protocol of Cooperation which says
that MakTel will make these payments by this certain
date, was Mr. Straub in a position to ensure that that
happened?

A Elek Straub was in the position probably, and you have to ask him, you know, what kind of position he was; but I assume his position was to go with this intention to pay the 95 million. It wasn't his decision.

A lot of other parties have to be involved in making the final decision, like the board of Stonebridge, like the board of MakTel, and probably the finance department of Magyar Telekom, including people from Deutsche Telekom. This is a major cash out item, 95 million euros. So it needs approval from other areas of the company as well.

Q All right. So this Protocol of Cooperation

Q All right. So this Protocol of Cooperation then is a pretty important document?

MR. KOENIG: I'm going to object.

THE WITNESS: As setting directions, it

23

24

25

Page 104

9

11

12

7

Page 105

1 could be said it's an important document, yes.

BY MR. DODGE:

2

3

4

5

6

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

25

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

Okay. Now, the paragraph below that says, the shareholders -- it's still under number 2, but the second paragraph. The shareholders of MakTel and the companies -- oh, no, I'm sorry, I'm misreading it.

7 A dividend for the subject -- for the subsequent financial year of the same level is subject 8 to, one, the sole discretion of the shareholders of 9 MakTel, and, two, the company's financial performance 10 and condition on 31 December 2005 (payable during the 11 first half of 2006) and, three, the reliability of the 12 13 regulatory framework of the telecommunications market in Macedonia. 14

Now, this refers to the dividend for the 2006 financial year; is that right?

It doesn't appear to me. It appears to me it's the financial year 2005.

But it begins, a dividend for the Q subsequent financial year of the same level?

21 Α Yes, the first year.

Okay, no, I'm sorry. The first year --Q

2004, and next is 2005. 23 Α

24 Q I apologize. You're right.

Okay. So this is deal is with the dividend

the regulatory framework is probably the stability of

the regulatory framework. It's obvious that if a

regulatory environment is not stable, but changing

every day, then you can't plan your profits in advance. 4

5 So if you can't plan your profits in advance, you can't

plan your dividend either. 6

So is this a reference to having Q appropriate bylaws enacted under the telecommunications law?

Page 107

10 Α Various regulations.

> Q Including the bylaws?

Including the bylaws. Α

13 0 So paragraph 3 says, Matav agrees that the MakTel group, i.e., MakTel and MobiMak, is willing to 14 15 pay the frequency fee for the year 2004 on a pro rata basis and for the full 2005 year. The total amount pro 16 rata 2004 and for year 2005 will be euro 2.4 million 17

for the entire MakTel group, i.e., MakTel and MobiMak. 18 19 Now, is this -- was this sort of a wish

20 list item, or is this a specific term, specific 21 agreement?

22 Α I think it's a very important item of this

23 Protocol of Cooperation because the government at one

point decided to increase the frequency fee very 24

heavily, out of the blue, out of context, and out of

Page 106 Page 108

for the 2005 year, which would have been payable in 2006; is that right? 2 3

Α Normally, yes.

Q And would you regard this provision as something like a wish list on the part of the government?

Α It's a wish list of both parties. I mean, you have to, again, put it into context. The dividend is an amount that can be paid from the profit or an accrued profit of a company. If the company's 10 financial performance is less good, obviously, less dividend can be paid.

It obviously depends on other factors as well. That's why this stipulation probably says, and I can only assume now, that it's a discretion of the shareholders of MakTel, that includes the government and that includes Magyar Telekom as well.

Okay. And in one of the conditions of the payment was the reliability of the regulatory framework of the telecommunications market in Macedonia; is that right?

Α According to the text, yes.

Q And you signed this agreement, right? Did 23 you have a different understanding? 24

25 No. What it means is the reliability of

any European standards or levels. The frequency fee was increased dramatically. 2

3 I remember, you know, the ballpark of around 10 million euros overall was the financial 4 5 impact that we were calculating for the foreseeable 6 year.

was, obviously, a bargaining process to reduce that 8 9 unrealistic and unreasonable frequency fee. So it was a wish of Magyar Telekom and MakTel in that respect, 10 directly or indirectly, to reduce the frequency fees; 11 12 however, the frequency fee is, if I recall well, is an 13 amount that's invoiced by one of the organizations of 14 the government, requires again further decision-making.

Now, as a part of this negotiation package

15 That's why I said, it's not a binding document, you know, it's not a contract. It's not 16 17 binding. These are ideas that needs further work, further stipulations. A lot of details are needed to 18 19 basically execute all of these framework ideas?

20 Okay. But once the protocol has been 21 signed, did people at Magyar Telekom expect that this was the amount the frequency fee that Magyar was going 22 23 to be paying?

24 A I hoped, you know.

25 Q Hoped?

Elek Straub, Andras Balogh and Tamas Morvai

Page 109

0 Okay. On the second page, the top of the page it says, further the government and Matav agree that the shareholders assembly meeting of MakTel would take place on 30 May 2005 to approve the payment of euro 95 million.

Was that a hope or was that a specific commitment?

- 9 Matav agree that the shareholder assembly meeting will take place, and, you know, it's just 10 11
  - But the date is only three days after the Q protocol is signed, right?
- Α Yes. 14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

16

A

Yes.

- 0 Do you think that government of Magyar --16 the government of Macedonia was entitled to assume that the meeting would actually happen?
- I don't know when this meeting happened. 18
  - Q Okay. Paragraph 5 says, rebranding, the government agrees to MobiMak and MakTel could be renamed to T-Mobile of Macedonia and/or T-Com Macedonia respectfully at Matav Deutsche Telekom's discretion.

Was that a wish list or is that a commitment on the part of the government?

It was a wish list from Magyar Telekom's

1 Q Paragraph 6 says, the bylaws we brought in 2 a previous consultation with MakTel/Matav, that GOM will accept all proposals for the bylaws which will be given by MakTel/Matav and which provide an equal and 4 5 fair treatment on the market and are in accordance with the law and the European practice. 6

7 Now, was that a commitment on the part of the government, or was that more of a wish list for 9 MakTel?

10 MR. SULLIVAN: If it will expedite the process, the witness has testified the protocol here is 11 a wish list. If you want to go through each and every 12 13 provision of it asking him the same question over and over, it's your time. 14

16 see, it says, which provide an equal and fair treatment 17 on the market in our accordance with the law and the European practice. What law? What European practice? 18 19 What are the details? What are the exact features,

THE WITNESS: It was a wish list. As you

- 20 factors, and elements of that. It's not set forth in
- this document. We don't know. It's a wish list. 21
- 22 BY MR. DODGE:
- 23 Okay. Once the protocol was signed, you couldn't be confident that you would actually get the 24 bylaws that you wanted?

Page 110

Page 112

Page 111

- side. The government did not want this ending. They thought it was a bad idea because it would reduce the 2 3 brand value of Macedonia. You know, for that country
- the name is very important. 4
  - Q They did not want the rebranding of MakTel?
  - Α They did not want rebranding of MobiMak.
    - Q Of MobiMak?
- Α Yes. 8
- 9 O Okay. So this was a concession on the part 10 of the government?
- You could say that it was, again, setting a 11 12 direction that the parties will or would like to agree 13 that the rebranding would take place. It's still a 14 wish list. It's not anything, you know, carved in 15 stone.
  - 0 Okav. And --
- 17 You needed to implement the rebranding, you know that. You need a big budget to do that. It's a 18 long process. You need decisions again to do this 19 rebranding. It's also a wish list from MakTel's side 20 21 because the budget to do such rebranding should be 22 provided by T-Mobile.
- 23 Q And so --
- Α As they operated everywhere in Europe where 24 they operate.

- You could not possibly know what's going to 1 happen. It needed further work. 2
- 3 Paragraph 8 says, the government shall ensure that the relevant authorities register Matav as the sole shareholder of Stonebridge and Telemacedonia within eight days after the date of this protocol. 6

7 Was that something that you expected the government to do? 8

- 9 I'm not sure where you are now.
  - Q Paragraph 8.
- Yes. Probably this was an issue as well. 11 Α
  - Q Did you expect the government to --
- 13 I mean, mr. Dodge, I vaguely remember this problem with Stonebridge and Telemacedonia. I think 14
- they were not fully registered by the Court, I mean, 15
- the corporate court, the company court in Macedonia, 16
- 17 and if they're not registered then deciding about the
- 18 dividend would have been a problem because the actual
- 19 shareholder, the owner of the shares, on Magyar Telekom
- 20 side is Stonebridge. If it's not registered, how could
- 21 it set dividend? How could it define the dividend.
- 22 declare the dividend?
- 23 So, again, it's a wish list because without 24 that it's not going to happen.
  - But -- well, the sentence says that the

25

10

Page 113

Elek Straub, Andras Balogh and Tamas Morvai

- government will register Matav as the sole shareholder 2 within eight days?
- 3 No. It says the government shall ensure that the relevant authority register Matav. 4
- 5 Okay. Did you expect the government of Macedonia to do that within eight days? 6
- No, because it's a court -- it's a company 7 court. The company court should be independent of the 8 government, I think. So it says ensure. I mean, we 9 are talking about the document that had been written by 10 nonEnglish native people, neither side. 11

So what the government shall ensure means for me that the government should make everything.

Let's turn to Exhibit 12 which is the second Protocol of Cooperation.

And, first, can you tell me, have you seen this document before?

- I can't recall if I saw this specific 18 19 document before.
- 20 Q Do you know when this was signed?
- 21 Α

12

13

14

15

16

17

5

11

- 22 Q Do you know whether it was signed, before or after the Exhibit 11? 23
- MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, asked and 24 25 answered.

- 1 Α I recognize the one signature.
- 2 Q Which one is that?
- 3 Α Elek Straub, chief executive officer.
- Q The one above the signature line from Mr. 4
- 5 Mehazi you don't recognize?
  - No. Α

6

10

15

- 7 Q Did you have any conversations with
- Mr. Straub about the execution of Exhibit 12? 8
- 9 What does "execution" mean?
  - Q The signature of it, the signing of it.
- I don't remember such a conversation. 11 Α
- 12 Q Did you know in the spring or summer of 13 2005 that the only original of Exhibit 12 would be
- maintained with the Greeks? 14

I don't remember.

- Q 16 So you don't remember now or you didn't
- know then? 17

Α

- I mean, I don't remember now. What I did 18 Α
- know then, you know, that was then, maybe I knew it 19
- 20 then, but I don't remember now what I remembered then
- 21 because that was nine years ago. It's quite obvious,
- 22 isn't it?

7

8

9

16

- 23 (Previously marked Exhibit 33 for purposes
- 24 of identification.)
- BY MR. DODGE:

Page 114

Page 116

Page 115

- THE WITNESS: I can't recall. 1
- BY MR. DODGE: 2
- 3 Q Do you know if it was signed before or 4 after?
  - MR. SULLIVAN: He said he didn't know.
- MR. DODGE: Please allow the witness to 6 7 answer.
- MR. SULLIVAN: Don't badger. You're asking 8 9 questions that have already been answered. Let's move this process along. 10
  - MR. DODGE: He has not answered.
- MR. SULLIVAN: He said he had no idea when 12 it was signed. Maybe I misunderstood. 13
- If you want to try it again, I'm happy to 14 15 have you do so.
- MR. DODGE: Well, you testified over his 16 17 answer?
- BY MR. DODGE: 18
- 19 Q Mr. Balogh, please answer the question.
- Α What question? 20
- 21 0 Do you know whether Exhibit 12 was signed
- before or after Exhibit 11? 22
- I don't know. 23 Α
- Q Do you recognize the signatures on the 24
- second page of Exhibit 12?

I'm handing you a document that's been 1 marked Exhibit Number 33, and this is a -- first page 2 3 is an is e-mail from Andras Balogh to Tamas Morvai dated May 31st, 2005. The text is in Hungarian. The second page is an English language translation 5 apparently prepared by White and Case. 6

The next two pages are Bates numbers MT-MAK 1049399 and 400 appear to be an attachment to the e-mail with the heading agenda written in Hungarian.

10 The last two pages that -- same Bates numbers, appear to be an English language translation 11 and Hungarian attachment. 12

13 Just focusing on the Hungarian portions of 14 Exhibit 33, Mr. Balogh, can you tell me whether you can 15 identify the e-mail on the first page of Exhibit 33?

- I don't remember writing this e-mail.
- 17 Q Do you have any reason to doubt that you sent this e-mail to Mr. Morvai on or about May 31st, 18 19 2005?
- 20 I see my name written on it, and I have no 21 reason to doubt it.
- Okay. Can you turn to the fourth page in 22 Hungarian with the heading, agenda, and then the page 23
- 24 following that. Can you identify this two-page
- 25 document?

Elek Straub, Andras Balogh and Tamas Morvai July 28, 2014 Page 141 Page 143

10

12

17

18 19

3

4

5

- 1 six-month intervals; is that right?
- 2 A It appears so, yes.
- 3 Q So why would three years were the frequency fees being paid in six-month intervals over a year and 4 5 a half?
- Α It depends on how they are invoiced. 6
- Obviously for the first year, for the 2004 the 7
- frequency fee had not been invoiced yet because that's
- the price they wanted to increase. In fact, they also
- wanted to increase retroactively the 2003 frequency 10
- 11 fee, but I can't recall these details, Mr. Dodge. So I
- 12 don't know.
- 13 0 Well, but the bullet point says, the original agreement was for 10 million euros. Did 14
- 15 Magyar Telekom ever agree to pay 10 million euros in 16 frequency fees?
- Α 17 No.
- 0 So when you wrote the original agreement 18 19 you were referring to something that was not an agreement but more like a demand for the government; is 21 that right?
- 22 A Yes.
- Okay. Is that -- is that demand reduced to 23 0 24 writing anywhere that you're aware of to pay 10 million euros in frequency fees in these installments?

- 1 issued.
- 2 Q But by May 31st of 2005 there was no demand from the government of Macedonia for Magyar Telekom to 3 make an immediate payment of one-third of 10 million 4
- 5 euros; is that right?
- I don't know what -- what you mean by an 6
- 7 immediate amount. I don't know. It doesn't say that.
- It says what was the initial agreement.
- 9 Q Okav.
  - Α It doesn't say the current agreement, it
- says the initial agreement. 11
  - Q Right.
- 13 Α And I'm also saying to you that the 10
- million, there was a reference with 10 million with the 14
- MVNO Kosovo business case, that was also a 10 million 15
- budget item. 16
  - Q Okay. But MVNO, I mean, when did -- when was Magyar Telekom or MakTel going to begin spending money on the MVNO?
- 20 That depends on when you start building or
- 21 constructing the MVNO network. If it was -- if it was 22 for the Greek partners, they wanted to do it as soon as
- 23 possible. You know, they wanted to launch this project
- 24 immediately. It was a very important item. There was
- a very important item on their business agenda. They

Page 142

Page 144

- Α Could you repeat the question? 1
- 2 Is there anything in writing that you're 0
- 3 aware of that reflects a demand by the government of
- Macedonia to pay 10 million euros in frequency fees in
- 5 these installments?
- Α Not that I'm aware of. 6
- 7 Q So -- but if that were a demand, by this
- time it would have been a demand that Magyar Telekom 8
- 9 had rejected, right?
- It was a part of the discussion to reduce 10 this frequency fee. 11
- 12 Well, by this time the Protocol of 13 Cooperation has already been signed, right?
- 14 But the initial request for -- request
- 15 for -- was for 10 million, but the fact that the
- Protocol of Cooperation was signed did not mean that 16
- 17 the frequency fee had actually been invoiced or paid.
- 18 Okay. Okay. So -- but by the time you had 19
- written this, the government has already agreed, at least the prime minister has already agreed and the 20
- 21 minister of telecommunications has already agreed to
- 22 pay for -- to have a lower frequency fee; is that
- right? 23
- Α Agree doesn't mean that we had the 24
- agreement because agreement is when the invoice are

- wanted to start this because it seemed them, for them,
- it seemed to be a very good business opportunity.
  - But was MakTel in a position to begin doing work on the MVNO immediately in May of 2005?
  - A Earmarking the budget, starting to work on
- the business case, yes; but it doesn't say that any 6
- commitment for that, or any actual activities for that
- could start or would start. It says, what was the
- initial agreement about. It doesn't say that it's a
- 10 firm commitment because it is not a firm commitment.
- 11 It's a business case.
- 12 So the original agreement, is it -- I guess 13 I'm confused. Is this referring to frequency fees or
- MVNO or is it some combination of the two or you're not
- 15 sure which of those two to it refers to?
- 16 Your last point. I'm not sure. I don't
- 17 remember. 10 million would cause these two things,
- either the frequency fee or the Kosovo MVNO case. 18
- 19 Q Okay. But at this moment you're not sure 20 which one it refers to?
  - I'm not sure.
  - All right. Next bullet point says,
- naturally the original agreement should have included 23
- firm commitments in respect of bylaws. So does this 24
  - original agreement refer to the same as the original

21

10

11

14

15

16

Page 327

1 Telekom and Cosmotelco or Chaptex that you were aware of as to how much money Cosmotelco or Chaptex would be 2

paid for the consulting activities?

3

9

11

14

17

18 19

1

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

23

24

It was an oral agreement, probably an oral 4 agreement or general agreement, that Chaptex and Cosmotelco will assist MakTel in the negotiations and will assist MakTel in concrete projects with concrete 7 deliverables and concrete results. 8

I cannot recall what -- at this time, what 10 specific date you asked. And there was a specific agreement about how much they would receive or how much they asked for, I can't recall that, in general, as the 12 13 circumstances of this discussion, of these discussions and -- and this particular e-mail and the attachment. 15 So the general understanding of mine was that it 16 referred to various activities that had been performed during a longer period of time.

Okay. When you refer to a "longer period," can you be more precise as to when that would have started? Would that have begun in the spring of 2005?

20 21 I can't be very specific when it began. It 22 -- it -- it started when the war between the government and -- and MakTel actually started. When it exactly 23 happened, I can't tell you whether it happened in 25 January of 2005 or only in March, I don't remember.

Services Agreement among Telemacedonia, Matav, Chaptex

2 Holdings, Limited, and Cosmotelco Telecommunication

Services, dated 22nd October 2004. 3

What I recall about this agreement, and 4 5 definitely -- definitely not the details -- it was in 2004 October, and that this agreement was signed when

the share purchase agreement with Cosmotelco was 7

signed. So they were related contracts.

Okay. But Exhibit 4, did -- was it your understanding it's a -- a three-year agreement; is that right. Do you understand that?

12 It was a multiyear agreement, yes, probably 13 for three years, yes.

Okay. Did you understand that Cosmotelco and Chaptex provided consulting services under this agreement, Exhibit 4?

17 What -- my understanding is that this was a -- a multiyear agreement of consulting availability,

a kind of a retainer that was a -- that was the 19

20 continuation of the services that Chaptex and

21 Cosmotelco had been providing when they were equity

22 partners of Matav and MakTel.

23 Okay. So the -- the -- the services that Cosmotelco was providing in 2005 you testified about a 24

few minutes ago, were any of those services provided

Page 328

Page 330

Page 329

It was in the first quarter of 2005, and this whole activity lasted up until the third quarter 2 of 2005, that we were in active negotiations with the 3 government. And we were discussing actual services, activities, and projects that required outside third-party -- third-party expertise. 6 Okay. So as I -- now, at this time in

2005, am I right that there was a preexisting agreement between MakTel and Cosmotelco that related to consulting services, one that was entered into in October of 2004; is that right?

There was an agreement. You know, it's -it's difficult to remember specifically.

I don't know if you have probably an exhibit of the contract and agreement you were referring to?

Q Yes.

18 MR. DODGE: Can I have number 90, please, 19 9-0.

(Previously marked Exhibit 4 for purposes 20 21 of identification.)

22 BY MR. DODGE:

> 0 Yes.

I'm handing you a document that's been previously marked as Exhibit 4. Exhibit 4, Consultancy

pursuant to the 2004 agreement, Exhibit 4? 1

2 I think the same services.

3 Okay. So was there an understanding sometime in 2005 that Cosmotelco would provide services

to MakTel and Magyar Telekom outside of the scope of

Exhibit 4?

7 Α If I recall -- we have to find a text in this consulting agreement -- that the 2004 consulting

agreement specifically allowed the Greeks to charge for

10 additional services at their discretion if they

11 believed they do not have enough resources or they do

12 not have enough expertise as a part of this business as

13 usual multiconsulting agreement to perform additional 14 work.

15 Okay. So was there an understanding in 2005 that the Greeks would be doing that, that they 16 17 would be providing services outside the scope of the 18 2004 agreement?

19 Α Again, if I remember well, the general situation was that in 2004 October, there was a -- a 20

21 certain nature of cooperation between the government 22 and Macedonia that's dramatically changed sometime in

23 2005. I cannot -- as I said a few minutes ago, I

cannot specifically say when it changed, but that's

what we refer to as "the war broke out between the

9

14

25

1

2

4

9

10

Page 391

1 than the -- the previously preferred -- previously

referred project would continue. 2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Meaning you simply move -- move forward 3 with the MVNO project? 4

Move forward with the MVNO project and set aside the budget if needed, set aside the people who could work on it, in general terms.

But what you wrote was logistics should be arranged right after; you didn't write should continue on in its ordinary course.

Can you understand -- explain to me why you would have written "should be arranged" right after? It sound like a discrete thing happening after another discrete thing.

MR. SULLIVAN: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Dodge, you know, it's not 16 my native language. Why these words are used, why 17 other words are used, I don't know why. I can only give you my current recollection, and -- and I gave it 19 20 to you.

BY MR. DODGE: 21

22 Okay. Q

MR. BEDNAR: Mr. Balogh, when you traveled 23 24

MR. SULLIVAN: Objection.

1 **THE WITNESS:** I remember a meeting where I

Page 393

was kept waiting for several hours. Whether it was 2

this meeting or a different meeting. I don't know.

Whether I met the minister on a different occasion. I

don't remember.

MR. SULLIVAN: These questions have been 6 7 asked and answered.

MR. BEDNAR: The question --

MR. SULLIVAN: It's cumulative.

10 MR. BEDNAR: The question that I'm asking is: The meeting that did not happen, where you were 11

forced to wait and ultimately were not able to meet 12

13 with Mehazi, was anyone waiting with you?

MR. SULLIVAN: Objection.

15 THE WITNESS: I can't remember.

MR. BEDNAR: Was any representative of the 16

17 Greeks waiting with you at that meeting? 18

MR. SULLIVAN: Objection.

19 THE WITNESS: I can't remember.

20 MR. BEDNAR: And is there any reason why a 21 representative of the Greeks would not attend that

22 meeting with you?

23 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, form,

speculation. He can't answer that. 24

You don't have to answer that.

Page 392

Page 394

We -- we -- we earlier requested one 1 questioner. Mr. Balogh has been very patient. He's 2 3 here testifying in a foreign language, frankly, so we prefer that if you want to ask a question, Mr. Bednar, 5 please review it with Mr. Dodge and have Mr. Dodge ask the question. 6

MR. DODGE: Well, no, actually, the rules don't -- don't prohibit Mr. Bednar from asking questions, so he can go ahead.

MR. BEDNAR: When you travel --

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, we're going to object.

MR. BEDNAR: We understand that.

13 When you traveled to Skopje to meet with Mr. Mehazi, I understand that you said that meeting did 14 15 not occur.

Did anyone travel with you to attend that meeting?

MR. SULLIVAN: Over objection.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Bednar, I don't even remember the meeting, when it took place, under what circumstances, so I -- I cannot tell you if anybody was traveling with me or not.

MR. BEDNAR: Okay. Do you recall that you were made to sit and wait for several hours, correct?

MR. SULLIVAN: Objection.

MR. DODGE: Are you asking --

MR. SULLIVAN: How would -- how would he

3 know?

Go ahead if you can, but this is absurd.

5 THE WITNESS: Because I don't remember the meeting, so I -- I don't remember if anybody else was 6 with me, so I can't speculate if there's any reason why 7 or why not they could or could not be there. 8

MR. BEDNAR: Very well. Thank you, Mr. Balogh.

11 (Previously marked Exhibit 53 marked for purposes of identification.) 12

13 BY MR. DODGE:

I'm handing you a document that's been 14 15 marked Exhibit 53. Exhibit 53 is a two-page document.

The first page is an e-mail from Ferenc Vaczlavik dated 16 17 August 30th, 2005, to Andras Balogh and Tamas Morvai.

The first page is in Hungarian, Bates number MT-MAKB 18 19

52. The second page is an English language translation 20 of the first page, prepared by White and Case.

Mr. Balogh, I'd ask you to take a look at

Exhibit 53 and tell me if this is an e-mail that you 22 and Mr. Morvai -- or that you received from

23 24 Mr. Vaczlavik on or about August 30 of 2005?

I don't have a specific recollection of

21

20

Page 395

this e-mail.

**Q Do** you have any reason to believe you did **3 not** receive it?

- 4 A Seeing the headers, no.
- Q Now, I'll be looking at the English
   translation, and it begins, Dear Andras, Attila
   informed me that according to your agreement, the two
   planned Chaptex agreements should be concluded via
   Telemacedonia because the direct MakTel connection
- 9 Telemacedonia because the direct MakTel connection would attract too much attention.

11 Do you see that?

12 A Yes.

13

18

19

20 21

2

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

1

- Q Is that consistent with the Hungarian?
- 14 A It -- it is consistent with the Hungarian.
- Q Okay. Do you understand what the two planned Chaptex contracts would have been referring to at this time in late August 2005?
  - A No, I don't know. I don't remember.
  - Q Okay. Do you remember that -- that the Chaptex bylaws contract and the Chaptex labor law contract were being finalized right around this time?
- A I don't remember, Mr. Dodge, but concerning these contracts and the Chaptex, Cosmotelco, Telemacedonia, whatever agreements, I think I have to reiterate the general theme or my general

1 outpayment associates that -- with that service.

This was the task of some local personnel and probably some Telekom personnel. I can't tell you who they were exactly, but -- but they had the task

Page 397

5 that they -- and they had to put these activities

6 subsequently after the activities had been started and

7 had been working on into -- into contractual form.

Now, to -- to do this required several complications. One of the complications and the sentence that you specifically read to me as -- as the first sentence -- was the first sentence -- I -- I read it as the first sentence, which says -- it was the

direct MakTel connection would attract too much attention. It, again, recollects a general problem

with these contracts. And the general problem with these contracts is that they refer to -- to consulting

activities and that were to be performed vis-a-vis the government. In fact, the counterparty or -- or the --

THE WITNESS: (Speaking in Hungarian).
THE INTERPRETER: The -- the adversaries.

21 **THE WITNESS:** The -- the adversary party

with the government.Now, the government representat

Now, the government representatives were sitting within the board of MakTel. Obviously, to share the intention, to work against the interests, if

Page 396 Page 398

1 understanding.

And my general understanding is that there was services provided for a long period of time. These services had to be memorialized in a -- in a contract. This was a subsequent realization of some previous intentions. They had to be put into a -- a legal framework and legal structure that needed certain activities and certain work from legal personnel and other individuals working for these companies. But these covered legitimate and performed activities that had been performed and delivered over a longer period of time.

Now, there were, obviously, some administration report of these activities. And in these e-mails that -- that you are showing to me now -- or this e-mail that you are showing to me now refers to how to administer, how to -- this memorialization should take place in a -- in a formal basis.

Now, I was not involved figuring out how it should be done to -- to be according to the -- the requirements of local management or requirements of capital structure of the -- of the companies that were involved in the -- in the -- in the provision of services as, you know, obviously, you have to have enough capital to perform a service if -- if there are

1 you will, of the government as regulator, including

2 some negotiation strategies, some -- some negotiation

3 steps that could or would be part of such a document --

4 was -- was not our interest. And this local personnel

5 tried to find a way that could be feasible and that

6 could be tactical.

This part refers to a tactical element of
that memorialization of previously and continuously
provided services, while some other items that I see
here, like the budget allowance at -- at Telemacedonia
and -- and MakTel was financial details or financial -administrational details that had to be put into
context or had to be clarified.

14 (Previously marked <u>Exhibit 54</u> marked for purposes of identification.)

## 16 BY MR. DODGE:

Q Okay. So just so that I understand your -your testimony -- well, do you understand that the two
contracts being referred to, the -- the -- the bylaw
contract and the labor law contract with Chaptex -were initially prepared with MakTel as one of the
parties and Chaptex as the counterparty; is that right?

23 A It -- it could be. As I -- as I said, I

4 don't remember the -- the specifics of these contracts.

I didn't really pay attention to who the party and who

Elek Straub, Andras Balogh and Tamas Morvai

Page 407

8

were at Magyar Telekom, can you tell me whether you

Page 409

Page 410

- would be confident that you, in fact, signed this
- 3 letter on or about May 31st, 2005?
- Mr. Dodge, I don't remember when it -- when 4 5 this letter was signed. I don't remember.
- Is it possible that you signed it at a 6 Q 7 later date?
  - Α I don't remember when it was signed.
- 9 Was it your practice to sometimes sign 10 documents on a date other than the date that's on the document? 11
- What does it mean "practice"? What does 12 A 13 constitute a practice?
- When you were at Magyar Telekom, you signed 14 Q 15 letters such as Exhibit 55, right?
- 16 It wasn't very often that, actually, we had 17 to sign hard-copy letters.
- 18 But sometimes you did, right? 0
- 19 A I wouldn't rule out that sometimes we had
- 20 to sign a hard-copy letter.
- 21 Okay. And did -- was -- did you have a --22 a normal course of dealing when signing letters that
- you would sign them on the date that they were -- that 23
- was on the letter, or did you sometimes use a different 25 date?

Page 408

1

2

15

16

Α Mr. Dodge, it depends on -- on the letter.

Let me put it to you this way: Let me 0

3 propose to you that Exhibit 55 was, in fact, signed by you sometime on or after August 31st, 2005, and despite

5 that, it was dated May 31st, 2005.

If that were true, would that be consistent 6 7 or inconsistent with your normal practice at Magyar 8 Telekom?

- 9 Α I can't call it a normal practice, because
- it wasn't a normal practice to sign letters. It's not 10
- a hard-copy environment. It's not a hard-copy world.
- 12 Even nine years ago, it wasn't a real hard-copy world.
- 13 It could happen in certain cases, but I cannot call this a practice. 14
  - Okay. Under what circumstances would you sign a letter that had a date on it three months earlier from when you actually had signed it?
- 17 18 I don't know. It depends on the
- 19 circumstance, and it depends on the actual case. 20 Can you think of any circumstance under Q 21
- which that would be appropriate? 22
  - What do you mean by "appropriate"?
- 23 Q Do you have an understanding what the word 24 "appropriate" means? Should we get a translation?
- 25 Α Yes.

- 1 whether the two documents are -- are referring to the same general -- general subject matter? 2 3 (Witness reviewing document.)
- It refers to the -- to the same general 4 5 subject matter, but I do not know whether it's the same complete subject matter. 6
  - Okay. So the -- Exhibit 55 is dated Q May 31st, 2005; is that right?
- 9 Α

7

8

- 10 0 And Exhibit 53 is -- is dated August 30th of 2005? 11
- 12 Α Yes.
- 13 O Can you tell me whether Exhibit 55 was, in fact, signed by you in May of 2005, or was it signed at 14 15 some later time?
- I don't remember, Mr. Dodge, when it was 16 Α 17 signed.
- Okay. Did you ever backdate any official 18 0 19 documents at -- at Magyar Telekom?
- 20 Α No, I don't backdate.
- 21 Okay. By "backdate," what I -- just so
- 22 that my -- my question is clear, what I -- what I mean
- by that is sign a document with a date that is earlier 23
- than the date when it was actually signed. 24
- 25 Sign and -- could you --Α

**THE INTERPRETER:** (Speaking in Hungarian). 1 **THE WITNESS:** I can't remember this. 2 3

BY MR. DODGE:

4

- But just given your normal practice, if Exhibit 55 is dated May 31st, 2005, would you be confident that you, in fact, signed it on May 31st, 6 2005? 7
- Mr. Dodge, a contract is entering into 8
- 9 force when the parties agree on those contracts. Whether this agreement takes place in writing on the 10
- place at -- at the time or it takes place before, it 11
- 12 doesn't matter. The key here and the actual result
- 13 here, that the agreement is an agreement when the parties agree on their intention, whether orally or 14
- writing. Immediately, that doesn't matter. 15
- Q Okay. Now, Exhibit 55 is not a contract, 16 17 right?
- 18 Α It looks to me as a letter.
- Q It's a letter authorizing Mr. Kisjuhasz to 19 enter into a contract, right? 20
- 21 Α That's right.
- 22 And it's dated May 31st, 2005? Q
- Α 23
- Q Given the fact that it's dated May 31st, 24
- 2005, and given your -- your normal practices when you

7

Page 411

1 THE INTERPRETER: (Speaking in Hungarian). 2 THE WITNESS: Mr. Dodge, you know, 'if I

understand what you want, you want me to give you an example when such a thing could be possible?

5 BY MR. DODGE:

> Q Yes.

3

4

6

7

8

10

12

23

24

9

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

retroactively.

Α If -- you know, I can try to think an example today, but that has no reference to what

happened in May or August or whatever, nine years ago. 9

I can think of an example now.

Would you like to hear that example? 11

#### Q Yes, please.

13 Α So if there was an agreement about something or if there was an information to be passed 14 to someone in a -- in a letter format and if it happened, let's say, in September, because that particular person or that particular company got this 17 information either as an e-mail, as a fax, or as an 19 oral information; and if for any reasons the -- the 20 persons who were not present had to sign the actual physical copy or hard copy of that paper, it could be 21 22 possible that the actual signature was put on the paper

0 Would it be the normal practice at -- at Magyar Telekom if a signature is applied retroactively occasions when a date on a -- on a document. If I was

aware that a performance was needed, was delivered, and

Page 413

was agreed before took place, then I really didn't --

didn't care about the other formal elements -- elements of the contract.

Q Okay. Would you agree with me that a contract is a -- an official business record of -- of Magvar Telekom or of MakTel?

9 A contract is -- is -- is a business record 10 of -- of Magyar Telekom. Magyar Telekom, if -- if you refer to the contracts, Magyar Telekom defines 11 contracts -- I mean, Magyar Telekom does not define 12 13 contracts. In Hungarian law may define -- or Hungarian law does define contracts. 14

15 A contract or an activity is -- is not necessarily subject to the formal written, final 16 version of a -- of a -- of a contract. 17

18 If -- if I recollect my memories concerning 19 contracts that were formalized after the activity 20 started, the most striking example that -- that comes

21 to my mind in this deposition is a contract Magyar

22 Telekom had with White and Case to do this

23 investigation when White and Case started to work much

24 before any written contract, any written information,

any details about the targets, about the means, and

Page 412

Page 414

1 to a document to indicate somewhere in the document that -- that the signature was retroactive? To use, 3 for example, as of a date?

I -- I -- I cannot say what a practice at

Magyar Telekom was concerning hard-copy letters and

hard-copy contracts, because it wasn't a practice. It 6

happened in certain cases and -- and as something 7

happens, you can't call it a practice. 8

Okay. What about the contracts between 10 the -- the consulting contracts with Chaptex that we've been discussing that were entered into in 2005; do you know whether any of them were -- were given dates earlier than when the contracts were prepared?

Mr. Dodge, I was not really involved in the dates and the details of the contracts. I was involved in the -- in the general framework of defining the need 16 for a contract, defining the general scope of activity -- of an activity, and assessing whether or not the results of an agreement had been achieved or not on a broad high strategic level as one of the senior executives of this company.

22 Whether or not the technical details -signatures, dates, whatever -- were -- were used, I 23 didn't pay attention. I -- I don't remember if I -- if I ever thought of it as an issue. I can't recall any

1 about any of the details of this investigation had been

communicated, shown, or sent to executives at Magyar 3 Telekom.

And, actually, I know -- I know that the 4 contract with White and Case had been signed at a later stage than they actually prefer, started to work for 6

7 Magyar Telekom.

8 In fact, I also know that the -- the 9 version that was signed this way was not signed in the proper way, because the person who signed the 10 11 engagement letter, or the contract, for White and Case 12 was not authorized to sign the contract.

13 So in this respect, if you wanted to a --14 a -- a non -- nonfiction example of such a contract, backdating, according to your terminology, it's -- it's 15 an example of that. 16

#### Q How do you know that about the White and Case is contract?

18 19 There were actually discussions within senior management of Magyar Telekom after -- well after 20 21 the investigation started that how -- under what 22 circumstances and how these contracts had been signed 23 or -- or entered into force.

24 I also remember the person who explained to 25 me about this was somebody from the legal department of